IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

UNI'	TED STATES OF AMERICA	:		
	v.	: :	1:22CR303-3	
JAIN	ME ROSADO FONTANEZ	: :		
	JOINT STA	TUS 1	<u>REPORT</u>	
	Pursuant to the applicable Sche	edulin	g Order, Counsel for the defendant	
and (Counsel for the Government info	rm the	e Court as follows:	
	A plea agreement has been signed and filed.			
\boxtimes	The parties have agreed on a plea agreement and a written plea agreement will be filed no later than July 7, 2023.			
	The defendant intends to plead guilty without a written plea agreemen			
	If any of the above three boxes is	s check	red, check at least one box below:	
	\Box the defendant consents to	a tele e ready	eo conference Rule 11 hearing. econference Rule 11 hearing. y to proceed with a Rule 11 hearing y can be scheduled.	
	The matter is not ready for Rule 11 hearing or trial because		earing or trial because:	
	on the docket at. the motion □ does require a hearing at whic	h the	does not defendant must be present.	

The defendant does not intend to plead guilty and the case needs to be set for jury trial.			
 The parties have discussed the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act and □ the Government □ has filed □ intends to file a motion to exclude time from Speedy Trial Act calculations, to which the defendant will not or does not object. ⊠ there are no Speedy Trial Act issues unless the expected Rule 11 hearing cannot be completed before September 25, 2023. □ there are no Speedy Trial Act issues. 			
Other information relevant to scheduling:			
f the parties agree on a scheduling or case management plan, provide he agreement here or in an attachment, with any explanation needed as to its propriety:			
This, the 28th day of June, 2023.			

/S/ DYLAN W. GREENWOOD DYLAN W. GREENWOOD Counsel for Defendant /S/ RANDALL S. GALYON RANDALL S. GALYON Counsel for Government